

ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT REPORT ERRATA



407 TRANSITWAY – WEST OF HURONTARIO STREET TO EAST OF HIGHWAY 400

MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION – CENTRAL REGION

Assignment # 2014-E-0013 GWP 14-20001

SEPTEMBER 2018

This document contains errata to the 407 Transitway – West of Hurontario Street to East of Highway 400 Environmental Project Report (EPR) (August 2018). The specific revisions and new commitments have been identified in yellow.

The revisions reflect comments received during the 30-day public review period from Dan Minkin, Heritage Planner, Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport (MTCS) on September 24, 2018.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT REPORT REVISIONS

Chapter 1 - Introduction

No revisions required.

Chapter 2 – Transportation Needs

No revisions required.

Chapter 3 – Existing and Future Conditions

No revisions required.

Chapter 4 – Identification of Alternatives and Evaluation Process

No revisions required.

Chapter 5 – Final Project Description

No revisions required.

Chapter 6 – Impact Assessment, Mitigation and Monitoring

Section 6.2.2 Socio-Economic and Cultural Environment (EPR Page 6-31, First Paragraph)

The following section discusses the footprint impacts to the socio-economic and cultural environment within the study area. In general, the land uses adjacent to the 407 Transitway are compatible with the 407 Transitway and support urban and regional transit. The majority of the 407 Transitway stations will serve as opportunities for transportation transfer points with other transit and transportation systems, thereby providing greater transit options. Four cultural heritage landscapes and four built heritage resources will be affected by the construction of the 407 Transitway. Resource-specific Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports (CHERs) were prepared as part of the TPAP for these eight cultural heritage resources to determine the heritage integrity of each resource. Based on the results of the eight CHERs, it was determined that no Heritage Impact Assessments were required. Six of the potential cultural heritage resources identified and subjected to CHERs (for BHRs 15, 17, 19, and 21, and CHLs 1 and 7) do

not meet the criteria for obtaining cultural heritage value or interest under Ontario Regulations 9/06 or 10/06 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* and are not known to retain any cultural heritage significance from a local or provincial perspective. The property at CHL 5 (7385 Farmhouse Court/Tomken Road, City of Brampton) did not meet the criteria for obtaining cultural heritage value or interest contained in Ontario Regulation 10/06 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* but did meet the criteria under Ontario Regulation 9/06 of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, as the property's well and tower were determined to be a representative example of an early-1900s wind-driven brick well. However, although the property at 7385 Farmhouse Court/Tomken Road is expected to be directly impacted by the proposed 407 Transitway, the identified heritage attributes of the property (i.e., the well and tower) are not expected to be impacted and, as a result, a Heritage Impact Assessment for CHL 5 is not required. Codlin Crescent and the historical settlement of Claireville (CHL 15, City of Toronto) did not meet the criteria contained within Ontario Regulation 10/06 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* and does not retain provincial cultural heritage significance. However, Codlin Crescent and the historical settlement of Claireville was determined to retain local cultural heritage value following application of Ontario Regulation 9/06 of the *Ontario Heritage Act* due to its historical associations with the settlement of Claireville. Although a Heritage Impact Assessment is not required for CHL 15, based on the determined heritage value of this cultural heritage resource, a number of recommendations are provided in **Section 6.2.2** and **Table 6.6**. Further archaeological investigations will be needed at some locations within the footprint of the 407 Transitway prior to construction. Refer to **Table 6.6** which shows the footprint impacts, proposed mitigation measures and recommended monitoring for the Socio-Economic and Cultural Environment.

Section 6.2.2 Socio-Economic and Cultural Environment, Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes (EPR Page 6-36)

To assess the potential impacts of the 407 Transitway on cultural heritage resources, the identified cultural heritage resources were considered against a range of possible impacts as outlined in the document entitled *Screening for Impacts to Built Heritage and Cultural Heritage Landscapes* (MTC November 2010). These impacts may include displacement through removal or demolition and/or disruption by the introduction of physical, visual, audible or atmospheric elements that are not in keeping with the character of the cultural heritage resources and/or their setting. A number of other factors are also considered when evaluating potential impacts on identified cultural heritage resources, all outlined in the *Guideline for Preparing the Cultural Heritage Resource Component of Environmental Assessments* (MTCS and MECP October 1992). In total, eight of the 38 cultural heritage resources are expected to be impacted by the 407 Transitway through demolition or alteration to their setting. As a result of the proposed impacts to these eight BHRs/CHLs, resource-specific Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports (CHERs) were prepared as part of the TPAP for these eight cultural heritage resources to determine the heritage integrity of each resource (see **Appendix H**). **Based on the results of the eight CHERs, it was determined that no Heritage Impact Assessments were required.** The CHERs were submitted to the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport and the applicable municipalities

(City of Brampton, City of Vaughan, and City of Toronto)/agencies (Infrastructure Ontario) for archival purposes.

Section 6.2.2 Socio-Economic and Cultural Environment, Table 6.6, Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes, Proposed Mitigation Measures (EPR Page 6-41)

As a result of the proposed impacts to the eight BHRs/CHLs, resource-specific Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports (CHERs) were prepared as part of the TPAP for the eight impacted cultural heritage resources to determine the heritage integrity of each resource. **Based on the results of the eight CHERs, it was determined that no Heritage Impact Assessments were required.** The CHERs were submitted to the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport and the applicable municipalities (City of Brampton, City of Vaughan, and City of Toronto)/agencies (Infrastructure Ontario) for archival purposes.

Section 6.3.2 Socio-Economic and Cultural Environment, Archaeological Features (EPR Page 6-60)

Should previously undocumented archaeological resources be discovered/**are impacted by the project work,** they may be a new archaeological site and therefore subject to Section 48 (1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*. The proponent or person discovering the archaeological resources must cease alteration of the site/**all activities impacting these resources** immediately, **notify MTCS,** and engage a licensed consultant archaeologist to carry out archaeological fieldwork/**an archaeological assessment,** in compliance with sec. 48 (1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act,* and the *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists.*

Section 6.3.2 Socio-Economic and Cultural Environment, Table 6.10, Archaeological Features, Environmental Impact and Proposed Mitigation Measures (EPR Page 6-63)

Should previously undocumented archaeological resources be discovered/**are impacted by the project work,** they may be a new archaeological site and therefore subject to Section 48 (1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*. The proponent or person discovering the archaeological resources must cease alteration of the site/**all activities impacting these resources** immediately, **notify MTCS,** and engage a licensed consultant archaeologist to carry out archaeological fieldwork/**an archaeological assessment,** in compliance with sec. 48 (1) of the *Ontario Heritage Act,* and the *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists.*

Chapter 7 – Implementation

No revisions required.

Chapter 8 – Consultation Process

No revisions required.

Chapter 9 – Climate Change Considerations

No revisions required.

Chapter 10 – Commitments to Future Action

Table 10.1, Archaeological Features Commitment (EPR Page 10-6)

Additional Commitment: All further archaeological assessment reports will need to be reviewed and entered into the register by MTCS as per the *Standards & Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists*.

Table 10.1, Archaeological Features Commitment (EPR Page 10-6)

Additional Commitments: If human remains are encountered, all activities must cease immediately and the local police as well as the Cemeteries Regulation Unit of the Ministry of Government and Consumer Services must be contacted. In situations where human remains are associated with archaeological resources, MTCS should also be notified to ensure that the site is not subject to unlicensed alterations which would be a contravention of the *Ontario Heritage Act*.

EPR Appendices

No revisions required.