TABLE OF CONTENTS

E. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY E-1
- **E.1 Introduction E-1**
- **E.2 Environmental Assessment and Consultation Process E-1**
- **E.3 407 Transitway Ridership Forecasts E-2**
- **E.4 Existing Policies and Planned Transit Projects in the Study Area E-2**
- **E.5 Transitway Technology Alternatives E-2**
- **E.6 Evaluation of Alternatives E-3**
- **E.7 Description of the Preferred Alternative E-5**
- **E.8 Operations and Maintenance Facilities E-5**
- **E.9 Assessment and Mitigation of Impacts E-5**
- **E.10 Implementation of the Transitway 1-7**

1. INTRODUCTION 1-1
- **1.1 Study Process 1-1**
- **1.2 Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP) (2008) 1-1**
  - 1.2.1 Environmental Project Report (EPR) 1-3
  - 1.2.2 Transit Project Assessment Approval Process 1-3
  - 1.2.3 407 Transitway Preliminary Planning 1-3
- **1.3 Study Background 1-4**
  - 1.3.1 Policy Context 1-4
  - 1.3.2 Past Studies 1-5
  - 1.3.3 Related Transportation Plans/Studies/Project 1-7

2. TRANSPORTATION NEED AND TRAVEL DEMAND 2-1
- **2.1 407 Transitway Role in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) 2-1**
- **2.2 Land Use, Population & Employment 2-1**
  - 2.2.1 Population and Employment Growth 2-1
  - 2.2.2 Allocation of Growth and Density 2-2
- **2.3 Forecasting Approach & Assumptions 2-3**
  - 2.3.1 Model Background 2-3
  - 2.3.2 Model Sensitivity 2-4
  - 2.3.3 Transportation Network Assumptions 2-4
  - 2.3.4 Transitway Station Locations and Parallel Transit Services 2-5
  - 2.3.5 Route Structure and Operating Characteristics 2-5
- **2.4 Future Travel Demand 2-6**
  - 2.4.1 Total Trips 2-6
  - 2.4.2 407 Transitway Ridership Forecasts 2-7
- **2.5 Future Transportation System Needs and Opportunities 2-9**

3. CONSULTATION PROCESS 3-1
- **3.1 Overview 3-1**
- **3.2 Consultation with Agencies 3-1**
  - 3.2.1 Technical Resource Group (TRG) 3-1
  - 3.2.2 Summary of Agency Consultation Activities 3-2
  - 3.2.3 Submission of the Draft EPR 3-9
- **3.3 Consultation with Aboriginal Communities 3-9**
  - 3.3.1 Summary of Comments Received 3-9
  - 3.3.2 Conclusion 3-9
- **3.4 Consultation with the Public 3-41**
  - 3.4.1 Public Notification 3-41
  - 3.4.2 Notification to Landowners in Close Vicinity of the Transitway 3-42
  - 3.4.3 Public Information Centres (PICs) 3-42
  - 3.4.4 Summary of Comments Received 3-42

4. STUDY AREA CONDITIONS 4-1
- **4.1 Natural Environment 4-1**
  - 4.1.1 Physiography and Soils 4-1
  - 4.1.2 Contaminated Properties and Waste 4-1
  - 4.1.3 Drainage 4-2
  - 4.1.4 Groundwater 4-2
  - 4.1.5 Fish and Aquatic Habitat 4-2
  - 4.1.6 Vegetation and Vegetation Communities 4-6
  - 4.1.7 Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat 4-6
  - 4.1.8 Designated Natural Areas 4-9
  - 4.1.9 Noise and Vibration 4-10
  - 4.1.10 Air Quality 4-10
- **4.2 Socio-Economic and Cultural Environment 4-10**
  - 4.2.1 Land Use 4-10
  - 4.2.2 Built Heritage and Cultural Heritage Landscapes 4-12
  - 4.2.3 Archaeological Features 4-12
- **4.3 Transportation 4-15**
  - 4.3.1 Transit Services within the Study Area 4-15
  - 4.3.2 Existing Road Network 4-18
- **4.4 Utilities 4-19**
  - 4.4.1 Services and Utilities 4-19

5. IDENTIFICATION OF ALTERNATIVES AND EVALUATION PROCESS 5-1
- **5.1 Study Assessment 5-1**
  - 5.1.1 Functional Performance Specification and Design Criteria 5-2
  - 5.1.2 Risk Based Cost and Schedule Analysis Workshops 5-2
  - 5.1.3 Value Engineering Study 5-2
- **5.2 The Technology 5-3**
- **5.3 Station Location Assessment 5-8**
- **5.4 Alternative Routes, Alignments & Station Layouts 5-12**
  - 5.4.1 Evaluation Criteria and Process 5-12
  - 5.4.2 Evaluation of Alternatives 5-14
- **5.5 Operation and Maintenance Facility Alternatives 5-47**
  - 5.5.1 BRT Operations 5-47
  - 5.5.2 LRT Operations 5-49
  - 5.5.3 Description of Site Alternatives 5-49
  - 5.5.4 Evaluation of Site Alternatives 5-50
  - 5.5.5 Preferred Site Layout Alternatives 5-51

6. DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE 6-1
6.1 Runningway 6-1
6.1.1 Design Criteria 6-1
6.1.2 Alignment 6-1
6.1.3 Runningway Cross-Sections 6-1
6.2 Stations 6-2
6.2.1 Design Criteria 6-2
6.2.2 Station Layouts 6-4
6.2.3 GO Barrie (Concord) Station 6-5
6.2.4 Bathurst Station 6-5
6.2.5 Yonge Station 6-6
6.2.6 Leslie Station 6-6
6.2.7 Woodbine/Rodick Station 6-7
6.2.8 Kennedy Station 6-7
6.2.9 User Accessibility and Connections 6-7
6.3 Structures 6-8
6.3.1 Overpasses and Underpasses 6-8
6.3.2 Culverts 6-9
6.4 Stormwater Management and Drainage 6-9
6.5 Utility Relocation 6-13
6.6 Emergency Response Services (ERS) Considerations 6-13
6.7 Illumination 6-13
6.8 ITS 6-14
6.9 Landscaping 6-14
6.10 Operations and Maintenance Facility 6-14
6.10.1 BRT Facility 6-15
6.10.2 LRT Facility 6-15
7. IMPACT ASSESSMENT, MITIGATION, AND MONITORING 7-1
7.1 Introduction 7-1
7.1.1 Studies Prepared in Support of the 407 Transitway 7-1
7.2 Footprint Impacts 7-2
7.2.1 Natural Environment 7-3
7.2.2 Socio-Economic and Cultural Environment 7-9
7.2.3 Transportation 7-9
7.2.4 Utilities 7-11
7.3 Construction Impacts 7-11
7.3.1 Natural Environment 7-11
7.3.2 Socio-Economic and Cultural Environment 7-17
7.3.3 Transportation 7-18
7.4 Operations and Maintenance Impacts 7-19
7.4.1 Natural Environment 7-19
7.4.2 Socio-Economic and Cultural Environment 7-23
7.4.3 Transportation 7-23
7.5 Summary 7-29
8. IMPLEMENTATION 8-1
8.1 Project Activities 8-1
8.1.1 Pre-Construction 8-1
8.1.2 Construction 8-1
8.1.3 Operations and Maintenance 8-2
8.2 Design Attributes and Built-In Mitigation 8-2
8.3 Project Implementation Phasing 8-6
9. COMMITMENTS TO FUTURE ACTION 9-1
9.1 Permits and Approvals 9-1
9.2 Consultation 9-1
9.3 Detail Design Stage and Construction Issues 9-1
9.4 Canadian Environmental Assessment Act Determination 9-2
9.5 Addendum Process 9-2

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure E-1: Study Area E-1
Figure E-2: Ridership Forecasts E-2
Figure E-3: BRT Vehicle E-3
Figure E-4: Route Evaluation E-4
Figure E-5: Preferred Alternative from East of Highway 400 to Kennedy Road E-6

Figure 1-1: Key Plan of the Study Area 1-1
Figure 1-2: Transit Project Assessment Process 1-2

Figure 2-1: Role of 407 Transitway within the GTA 2-1
Figure 2-2(a): 2001 Urban Density 2-2
Figure 2-2(b): 2031 Urban Density 2-3
Figure 2-3: Conceptual Route Structure 2-5
Figure 2-4: 2001 & 2031 AM Peak Period Transit, Auto & Total Volumes 2-6
Figure 2-5(a): 2001 to 2031 AM Peak Period Corridor Travel Flow Growth, Flows to Highway 407 Corridor 2-6
Figure 2-5(b): 2001 to 2031 AM Peak Period Corridor Travel Flow Growth, Flows from Highway 407 Corridor 2-7
Figure 2-6: 2031 Projected A.M. Peak Hour 407 Transitway Link Volumes 2-7
Figure 2-7: Transit Demand Requirements for Rapid Transit Technology 2-9

Figure 4-1(a): Watercourse Crossing and Fish Habitat....4-3
Figure 4-1(b): Watercourse Crossing and Fish Habitat....4-4
Figure 4-2(a): Existing Natural Heritage Features....4-7
Figure 4-2(b): Existing Natural Heritage Features....4-8
Figure 4-3: Existing GO Transit Services within the 407 Transitway Central Section....4-15
Figure 4-4: Existing YRT/Viva System Map (Effective September 5, 2010)....4-16
Figure 4-5: 2006 Highway 407 East & West GO Bus Peak Hour Peak Direction Boardings....4-17

Figure 5-1: 407 Transitway, Central Section, Planning and Preliminary Development Stage....5-1
Figure 5-2: Key Plan of the Study Area....5-1
Figure 5-3: Typical Passenger Capacity – Capital Cost for Rapid Transit Technology Options....5-8
Figure 5-4: Segment A - Route Alternatives....5-15
Figure 5-5: Segment A - Preferred Route....5-17
Figure 5-6: Segment A - Horizontal Alignment Options....5-18
Figure 5-7: Segment B – Route Alternatives....5-19
Figure 5-8: Segment B - Preferred Route....5-21
Figure 5-9: Alignment Alternatives through West Don River Valley....5-22
Figure 5-10: GO Barrie (Concord) Station Site Layout Alternatives.... 5-25
Figure 5-11: Segment C - Route Alternatives....5-27
Figure 5-12: Segment C - Shortlisted Route Alternatives....5-28
Figure 5-13: Segment C - All Alignment Alternatives Considered....5-30
Figure 5-14: Segment C - Alignment Alternatives Evaluated....5-31
Figure 5-15: Segment D - Route Alternatives....5-34
Figure 5-16: Preferred Route Segment D....5-35
Figure 5-17: Bayview Avenue Underpass and Hydro Corridor Crossing....5-36
Figure 5-18: Route Alternatives Segment E....5-38
Figure 5-19: Preferred Route Segment E....5-39
Figure 5-20: Preferred Alignment Illustrating Magna Access and Miller Avenue Widening (Undertaken by Others).... 41
Figure 5-21: Segment F - Route Alternatives....5-42
Figure 5-22: Segment F – Preferred Route Alternative....5-44
Figure 5-23: Integrated Alignment Alternative F3A....5-45
Figure 5-24: Integrated Alignment Alternative F3B....5-46
Figure 5-25: Alignment Alternatives Through Markham Centre....5-48
Figure 5-26(a): Conceptual Layout of Typical Maintenance and Storage Complex Alternative A....5-52
Figure 5-26(b): Conceptual Layout of Typical Maintenance and Storage Complex Alternative B....5-53

Figure 6-1: Fill Section between Stations....6-3
Figure 6-2: Cut Section between Stations....6-3
Figure 6-3: Cross-section through Station Platforms....6-4
Figure 6-4: Location of Proposed Enhanced Swales and Ponds in the Study Area....6-11
Figure 6-5: Conceptual Layout of BRT Operations and Maintenance Facility....6-16
Runningway Plates....6-18
Station Layouts....6-53

Figure 8-1: Stage 1 Interchange Crossing....8-3
Figure 8-2: Stage 2 Interchange Crossing....8-4
Figure 8-3: Stage 3 Interchange Crossing....8-5

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1: Alternatives to the Undertaking Evaluated....1-3

Table 2-1: 2001 to 2031 Population & Employment by Municipality....2-1
Table 2-2: Urban Density & Transit Potential....2-3
Table 2-3: 2031 Projected A.M. Peak Hour Boardings & Alightings at 407 Transitway Stations....2-7
Table 2-4: 407 Transitway Ridership Access & Egress Modes, 2031 AM Peak Hour....2-8
Table 2-5: 2031 to 2051 Population & Employment by Municipality....2-8
Table 2-6: 2051 Corridor Trips by Auto and Transit....2-8
Table 2-7: Predicted Park-and-Ride Demand of 407 Transitway in 2031 (Daily Parking Demands)....2-9

Table 3-1: Summary of Agency Consultation Activities....3-1
Table 3-2: Summary of Agency Comments received during the Planning and Preliminary Design Stage....3-7
Table 3-3: Draft EPR Version 2, Agency Comments and Responses....3-10
Table 3-4: PIC Public Comments and Study Team Responses....3-43

Table 4-1: Watercourse crossings within the Study Area....4-2
Table 4-2: Identified Cultural Heritage Landscapes (CHL) and Built Heritage Resources (BHR) within the 407 Transitway Study Corridor....4-13
Table 4-3: Existing North-South Arterial Roads in the 407 Transitway Corridor....4-19

Table 5-1: Technology Characteristics....5-4
Table 5-2: Evaluation Of Rapid Transit Technology Alternatives....5-7
Table 5-3: Assessment Of Potential Station Nodes....5-10
Table 5-4: Station Site Assessment Criteria....5-12
Table 5-5: Evaluation Criteria and Indicators for Station Sites and Routes....5-12
Table 5-6: Segment Limits in Central Section....5-14
Table 5-7: Evaluation of Alternatives for Bathurst Street Grade Separation and Station....5-20
Table 5-8: Evaluation of Station Location Alternatives....5-23
Table 5-9: Evaluation of Alignment Alternatives for Yonge Street Grade Separation and Yonge Station....5-32
Table 5-10: Evaluation of Operation and Maintenance Site Alternatives....5-50

Table 6-1: Exceptions to Design Speed Criteria in Highly Sensitive Situations....6-1
Table 6-2: Station Consideration Factors and Design Principles....6-2
Table 6-3: Preferred Structural Options for each Identified Crossing....6-8
Table 6-4: Stormwater Management Criteria....6-10
Table 6-5: Summary of Stormwater Management Ponds within the Study Limits....6-10
Table 6-6: Flows, Water Levels Upstream Of Proposed 407 Transitway Structures, Freeboard and Clearance For 100-Yr Event....6-12

Table 6-7: GO Transit Standards 6-14
Table 6-8: MTO Standards for Parking Lots 6-14

Table 7-1: Footprint Impacts: Potential Impacts, Mitigation and Monitoring for Natural Environment 7-6
Table 7-2: Footprint Impacts: Potential Impacts, Mitigation and Monitoring for Socio-Economic, Cultural Environment, and Transportation 7-10
Table 7-3: Utility Conflicts and Observations, Recommendations, and/or Mitigation Measures 7-11
Table 7-4: Construction Impacts: Potential Impacts, Mitigation and Monitoring for Natural Environment 7-14
Table 7-5: Construction Impacts: Potential Impacts, Mitigation and Monitoring for Socio-Economic Environment 7-18
Table 7-6: Construction Impacts: Transportation System Effects and Mitigation 7-19
Table 7-7: Operations and Maintenance Impacts: Potential Impacts, Mitigation and Monitoring for Natural Environment 7-21
Table 7-8: Operations and Maintenance Impacts: Transportation System Effects and Mitigation 7-24

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A. Consultation Record

Appendix B. Traffic Report

Appendix C. Contaminated Property and Waste Management Report

Appendix D. Fluvial Geomorphology Study Report

Appendix E. Secondary Source Groundwater Investigation Report

Appendix F. Natural Heritage Report

Appendix G. Aesthetics – Landscape Planting Report

Appendix H. Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Report

Appendix I. Air Quality Impact Assessment Report

Appendix J. Land Use Factors Report

Appendix K. Cultural Heritage Assessment Report

Appendix L. Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment Report

Appendix M. Drainage Report

Appendix N. Salt Management Plan

Appendix O. Property Requirements